Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)	
	10/810,662	NAKABE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel A Hess	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT I of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in the commod of the c	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course.	
 This communication is responsive to <u>11/9/04 amendment</u> 	<u>t</u> .		
2. ⊠ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>10-17</u> .			
3. $igtimes$ The drawings filed on $3/29/04$ are accepted by the Exami	ner.		
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be substituted in the priority of the	ve been received. ve been received in Application ocuments have been received. of this communication to file MENT of this application. mitted. Note the attached EX ves reason(s) why the oath of ust be submitted. rson's Patent Drawing Review. r's Amendment / Comment of the header according to 37 Closit of BIOLOGICAL MAT	on No. 10/299,903. In this national stage application from a reply complying with the requirement of the AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE Condector of the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the back) of FR 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted. Note the	nts DF
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. Interview S Paper No. 7. Examiner's	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) ummary (PTO-413), /Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowance	

Application/Control Number: 10/810,662

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

This action is in response to an amendment received 11/9/2004, which has been placed in the file

of record.

Remark on claim interpretation

Each independent claim recites in the preamble, "...a specific time slot selected from one or a

plurality of time slots..."

Examiner wishes to make clear on the record that there must be a plurality of time slots selected

among; otherwise there is not a selection. In the body of the claim, which is granted more

weight, the claim recites, "...decide the specific time slot to respond based on the electromotive

force measured..." Clearly, for the card to decide, there must be a plurality of slots from which

to make a decision. A decision cannot be made with only one time slot.

Hence, when the claim recites, "...selected from one or a plurality of time slots..." it is clear

from the claim language that this can only be interpreted as, "...selected from a plurality of time

slots."

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/810,662

Art Unit: 2876

Claims 10-17 are allowed. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The known prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest an arrangement whereby an electromotive force measuring unit on an IC card measures the electromotive force and selects from among a plurality of response time slots based on the specific electromotive force measured.

The nearest known prior art consists of the rather common arrangement whereby a smart card is powered by the reader itself; in this way, the response time of the card will depend on the electromotive force, but only in the sense of 'on/off' – in other words, as soon as the reader signal becomes strong enough (as a card holder approached a gate for example), the transponder will be enabled to respond.

Hikita et al. (US 6,6,747,546) is one example, teaches (column 3, lines 43-65) a common arrangement wherein an IC card acts as a transponder to send a response, and also (column 3, lines 65-67) derives power from the card reader.

In the case of Hikita, there is no deciding upon a particular time slot based on electromotive force measured, as recited in each amended claim; rather, there is a just a response if possible, and no election of a time-slot decision.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/810,662

Art Unit: 2876

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel A Hess whose telephone number is (571) 272-2392. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DH

THIEN M. LE PRIMARY EXAMINER